## **JMU Academic Presentation Group Rubric**

Trait	Unsatisfactory	Competent	Superior
Purpose Topic/public issue choice, thesis/purpose and audience relevance	<ul><li>☐ Topic is not appropriate for the situation.</li><li>☐ Thesis is missing or unclear.</li><li>☐ Little audience relevance.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Topic is appropriate for the situation.</li> <li>☐ Thesis is clear and discernible.</li> <li>☐ Topic is made relevant to the audience.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>☐ Ideal topic for the situation.</li><li>☐ Thesis is sophisticated.</li><li>☐ Audience relevance is shown throughout.</li></ul>
Structure Introduction, body conclusion, organization	<ul> <li>☐ Missing or incomplete introduction and/or conclusion.</li> <li>☐ Content is haphazardly organized. Presentation is hard to follow.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Clear and complete introduction and conclusion.</li> <li>☐ Content is adequately organized.</li> <li>Issue well covered.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Conclusion is engaging, complete, and memorable.</li> <li>☐ Content effectively organized; highlights complexity of the issue.</li> <li>☐ Offers new insight.</li> </ul>
Supporting Materials Evidence, research, oral citations, multiple perspectives, creativity	<ul> <li>☐ Most supporting materials are not appropriate, credible, or relevant for the topic.</li> <li>☐ Little or no attempt to cite sources orally.</li> <li>☐ Supporting materials poorly presented.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Most supporting materials are appropriate, credible and relevant for the topic.</li> <li>☐ Materials cited orally, but citations may not be complete.</li> <li>☐ Materials delivered adequately.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ All supporting materials are appropriate, credible and relevant.</li> <li>☐ All oral citations are complete and well credentialed.</li> <li>☐ Materials presented effectively.</li> </ul>
Delivery Confidence, vocal cues, eye contact, gestures, movement, appearance, pace, PowerPoint, visual aids	<ul> <li>☐ Most individuals do not use appropriate vocal projection and variety.</li> <li>☐ Most individuals do not speak with appropriate confidence.</li> <li>☐ Gestures and movement may be distracting.</li> <li>☐ Most appear unprofessional.</li> <li>☐ Unappealing PowerPoint, text heavy, poorly utilized.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Most individuals use appropriate vocal projection and variety.</li> <li>☐ Most individuals speak with appropriate confidence and are conversational.</li> <li>☐ Most individuals use gestures and movement appropriately.</li> <li>☐ Most appear professional.</li> <li>☐ Visual aids use images rather than text and are managed well during presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Each individual uses appropriate vocal projection and variety.</li> <li>□ Each individual speaks with appropriate confidence and are conversational.</li> <li>□ All appear professional.</li> <li>□ Visual aids are appealing, integrated smoothly, and error free.</li> </ul>
Group Dynamics Perceived cohesiveness, coordination, transitions, nonverbals while not delivering	<ul> <li>☐ Group demonstrates little to no collaboration, little flow, poor or missing transitions.</li> <li>☐ Little evidence of cohesion.</li> <li>☐ Most individuals display inappropriate nonverbal behaviors when not speaking.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Groups appears to have collaborated on the presentation; sections are tied together with clear transitions.</li> <li>☐ Some evidence of cohesion.</li> <li>☐ Most individuals display appropriate nonverbal behaviors most of the time.</li> </ul>	☐ Group clearly collaborated on the presentation; sections are connected with well-executed and seamless transitions. ☐ The group is clearly cohesive. ☐ Each individual displays appropriate nonverbal behaviors at all times.